



The Provincial Indices of Multiple Deprivation for South Africa 2001

**Michael Noble, Miriam Babita, Helen Barnes,
Chris Dibben, Wiseman Magasela, Stefan Noble,
Phakama Ntshongwana, Heston Phillips, Sharmla Rama,
Benjamin Roberts, Gemma Wright and Sibongile Zungu**



HSRC



March 2006

The Provincial Indices of Multiple Deprivation for South Africa 2001

**Michael Noble, Miriam Babita, Helen Barnes, Chris Dibben,
Wiseman Magasela, Stefan Noble, Phakama Ntshongwana,
Heston Phillips, Sharmla Rama, Benjamin Roberts, Gemma
Wright and Sibongile Zungu**

Published by

Centre for the Analysis of South African Social Policy
Department of Social Policy and Social Work
University of Oxford

Suggested citation:

Noble, M., Babita, M., Barnes, H., Dibben, C., Magasela, W., Noble, S., Ntshongwana, P., Phillips, H., Rama, S., Roberts, B., Wright, G. and Zungu, S. (2006) *The Provincial Indices of Multiple Deprivation for South Africa 2001*, University of Oxford, UK.

Disclaimer:

The University of Oxford and the Human Sciences Research Council have taken reasonable care to ensure that the information in this report and the accompanying data are correct. However, no warranty, express or implied, is given as to its accuracy and the University of Oxford and the Human Sciences Research Council do not accept any liability for error or omission. The University of Oxford and the Human Sciences Research Council are not responsible for how the information is used, how it is interpreted or what reliance is placed on it. The University of Oxford and the Human Sciences Research Council do not guarantee that the information in this report or in the accompanying file is fit for any particular purpose. The University of Oxford and the Human Sciences Research Council do not accept responsibility for any alteration or manipulation of the report or the data once it has been released.

Statistics South Africa has taken care to ensure that the information provided in this report and the accompanying data are correct. However, this report and the methodology followed represent 'work-in-progress' and the information presented here may change in subsequent reports.

© University of Oxford, Human Sciences Research Council, Statistics South Africa, 2006

ISBN 978-0-9552753-0-2
0-9552753-0-X

Contents

Chapter 1	Background.....	7
	<i>Section 1.1: Conceptual framework for the Provincial Indices of Multiple Deprivation 2001</i>	<i>7</i>
	Defining poverty and deprivation	7
	Dimensions of deprivation	8
	<i>Section 1.2: Review of previous research measuring poverty at a small area level in South Africa</i>	<i>9</i>
	<i>Section 1.3: Structure of the Report.....</i>	<i>11</i>
Chapter 2	Domains and indicators	13
	<i>Section 2.1: An introduction to the domains and indicators.....</i>	<i>13</i>
	The model of multiple deprivation.....	13
	Domains	13
	Indicators	14
	Geographical units for each PIMD 2001	14
	Population denominators.....	15
	<i>Section 2.2: Income and Material Deprivation Domain.....</i>	<i>15</i>
	Purpose of domain	15
	Background.....	15
	Indicators	16
	Combining the indicators	16
	Other issues considered.....	17
	<i>Section 2.3: Employment Deprivation Domain</i>	<i>18</i>
	Purpose of domain	18
	Background.....	18
	Indicators	18
	Combining the indicators	19
	Other issues considered.....	19
	<i>Section 2.4: Health Deprivation Domain</i>	<i>20</i>
	Purpose of domain	20
	Background.....	20
	Indicator.....	20
	Other issues considered.....	21
	<i>Section 2.5: Education Deprivation Domain.....</i>	<i>21</i>
	Purpose of domain	21
	Background.....	21
	Indicator.....	21
	Other issues considered.....	22
	<i>Section 2.6: Living Environment Deprivation Domain.....</i>	<i>22</i>
	Purpose of Domain	22
	Background.....	22
	Indicators	22
	Combining the indicators	24
	Other issues considered.....	24
	<i>Section 2.7: Other domains considered.....</i>	<i>25</i>
	Crime and social order	25
	Proximity to services.....	25

Chapter 3	Methodology	27
	<i>Section 3.1: Use of the 2001 Census.....</i>	<i>27</i>
	<i>Section 3.2: Creating domain indices.....</i>	<i>27</i>
	Dealing with small numbers	27
	Combining indicators into domain indices.....	28
	<i>Section 3.3: Combining domain indices into an index of multiple deprivation</i>	<i>29</i>
	Standardisation and transformation.....	29
	Weighting.....	30
Chapter 4	The geography of deprivation	32
	<i>Section 4.1: How to interpret the ward level results.....</i>	<i>32</i>
	The five domain measures and ranks	32
	The Provincial Indices of Multiple Deprivation 2001.....	33
	<i>Section 4.2: Ward level results</i>	<i>33</i>
	Western Cape	33
	Eastern Cape	35
	Northern Cape.....	37
	Free State	39
	KwaZulu-Natal	40
	North West.....	42
	Gauteng.....	44
	Mpumalanga	46
	Limpopo.....	48
Chapter 5	How should the Provincial Indices of Multiple Deprivation be used?	50
	Spatial targeting	50
	The domain measures.....	50
	Provincial Indices of Multiple Deprivation.....	51
Chapter 6	Towards a national Index of Multiple Deprivation	53
	A new statistical geography	53
	Harnessing administrative data to create indices of multiple deprivation that are both up to date and updateable	55
Appendix 1	Indicators used in each PIMD 2001	56
	<i>Income and Material Deprivation Domain.....</i>	<i>56</i>
	Numerator	56
	Denominator	56
	<i>Employment Deprivation Domain</i>	<i>57</i>
	Numerator	57
	Denominator	57
	<i>Health Deprivation Domain</i>	<i>58</i>
	<i>Education Deprivation Domain.....</i>	<i>58</i>
	Numerator	58
	Denominator	58

<i>Living Environment Deprivation Domain</i>	58
Numerator	58
Denominator	59
Appendix 2 Components of each PIMD 2001	60
Acronyms	61
References	62
The Research Team	66
<i>Centre for the Analysis of South African Social Policy</i>	66
<i>The Human Sciences Research Council</i>	66
<i>Statistics South Africa</i>	67

Acknowledgements

The team would like to thank Charles Simkins from the University of the Witwatersrand for his advice on the low income measure and David Avenell for his assistance in producing the maps. The team would also like to extend warm thanks to Lucie Cluver, Andy Dawes, Lydia Maake, Annika Puide and Mastoera Sadan for their helpful contributions at the team meetings.

The team is very grateful to Cally Ardington, Murray Leibbrandt and Matthew Welch from the University of Cape Town for their advice on imputation and for sharing the method and results of their imputation work. Finally, the team wish to acknowledge Oxford Supercomputing Centre (OSC) for the use of their resources for CASASP's imputation work on the 10% sample of the Census, and in particular to thank Jon Lockley and his team at OSC for their help and support.